

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

RULE 16(B) SCHEDULING ORDER

Upon consideration of the representations made by the parties in their Proposed Joint Discovery Plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) (“Joint Discovery Plan”) (Dkt. 67) and amendment thereto (“Amended Joint Discovery Plan”) (Dkt. 81) and at the initial pretrial conference held on August 24, 2022, and taking note of the Scheduling Order entered in this case (Dkt. 66), the court makes the following rulings:

1. All discovery shall be concluded by December 9, 2022.
2. The Joint Discovery Plan filed by the parties is approved and shall control discovery to the extent of its application unless further modified by the court.
3. All Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) disclosures shall be completed by September 30, 2022.
4. Consistent with the parties' agreement at paragraph 10 of the Amended Joint Discovery Plan, both parties shall serve initial expert disclosures in support of their cases in chief concurrently. However, the parties' requested expert disclosure schedule is denied. The schedule shall be consistent with Local Civil Rule 26(D). Specifically, initial expert disclosures shall be due from both parties by October 10, 2022, and responsive expert disclosures shall be

due from both parties by November 9, 2022. Rebuttal reports from either party, if any, shall be due by November 28, 2022. To the extent the parties wish to modify these deadlines, they must seek leave of court.

5. If the parties believe that a settlement conference with the court would be of assistance in resolving this dispute, they may arrange a settlement conference by contacting the undersigned magistrate judge's chambers.

6. To the extent any party intends to assert a claim of privilege or protection as to trial preparation material, any such claim must be made in a timely manner and in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5).

7. To the extent it becomes necessary, the parties may submit an agreed protective order concerning the disclosure of information between the parties in discovery. Any provision in the proposed protective order concerning filing documents under seal must comply with Local Civil Rule 5.

8. The following provisions shall apply to the filing and noticing of all motions:

(a) All motions must contain a statement that a good-faith effort to narrow the area of disagreement has been made in accordance with Local Civil Rule 7(E) and Local Civil Rule 37(E) for discovery motions. All motions must adhere to the page limits and font requirements set in Local Civil Rule 7(F)(3). An appropriate number of paper copies of any motion and all pleadings relating to that motion shall be delivered directly to the attention of the judge hearing the motion at the Clerk's Office within one day of the electronic filing. *See "Alexandria Chambers Copies/Division-Specific Information"* on the Alexandria page of the Court's website located at www.vaed.uscourts.gov.

(b) Except for consent motions, all motions shall be accompanied either by a waiver of hearing or a notice of hearing for the earliest possible hearing date consistent with the briefing schedules discussed below. A consent motion should be filed in accordance with the procedures provided on the Alexandria page of the Court's website referenced above. All discovery-related motions must be filed before the final pretrial conference.

(c) Any motion to amend the pleadings or to join a party must be made as soon as possible after counsel or the party becomes aware of the grounds for the motion.

(d) Dispositive motions shall be filed and briefed in accordance with the schedule set forth in Local Civil Rule 7(F)(1) and (K). Local Civil Rule 7(F)(1) provides that a response brief is due 14 days after service of the motion and a reply brief may be filed 6 days after the service of the response. The periods for filing a response brief and a reply shall apply without regard to the mode of service used for those briefs. Any dispositive motion against a *pro se* party must contain the notice set forth in Local Civil Rule 7(K) and provide the *pro se* party with at least 21 days to file a response opposing the motion.

(e) In order to provide for the prompt resolution of non-dispositive matters to be heard by the assigned magistrate judge, a non-dispositive motion may be filed by no later than 5:00 p.m. on a Friday and noticed for a hearing at 10:00 a.m. on the following Friday. Under this expedited schedule, a response brief must be filed no later than 5:00 p.m. on the following Wednesday and any reply brief should be filed as early as possible on Thursday to give the court time to review all pleadings before the hearing. This expedited schedule shall apply for non-dispositive motions noticed for a hearing with less than two weeks' notice. If a non-dispositive motion is noticed for a hearing between two and three weeks from the filing date, any response brief must be filed 7 days after service and any reply brief may be filed 3 days after service of the

response. At the moving party's discretion, a non-dispositive motion may also be filed and noticed for a hearing with three weeks' notice and the briefing schedule provided in Local Civil Rule 7(F)(1) providing for 14 days for a response brief and 6 days for a reply would apply. If a non-dispositive motion is filed and oral argument is waived, any response brief must be filed within 7 days after service and any reply brief within 3 days after service of the response.

(f) All summary judgment issues shall be presented in the same pleading unless leave of court is first obtained. As required by Local Civil Rule 56, each brief in support of a motion for summary judgment must include a separately captioned section within the brief listing, in numbered-paragraph form, each material fact that the movant contends is undisputed with appropriate citations to the record. A brief in opposition to a motion for summary judgment must include a separately captioned section within the brief addressing, in numbered-paragraph form corresponding to the movant's section, each of the movant's enumerated facts and indicating whether the non-movant admits or disputes the fact with appropriate citations to the record. The Court may assume that any fact identified by the movant as undisputed in the movant's brief that is not specifically controverted in the non-movant's brief in the manner set forth above is admitted for the purpose of deciding the motion for summary judgment.

(g) Any motion to file a document under seal must comply with Local Civil Rule 5. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5, a notice specifically identifying the motion as a sealing motion must be filed on the public record. There is no need to file a notice of hearing or waiver of hearing for a motion to seal. A memorandum must be filed stating sufficient facts to support the action sought, and a proposed order must include specific findings. Where a party moves to file material under seal because the opposing party has designated that material as confidential, the opposing party must file a response to the motion and a proposed order that meet the

requirements of Local Civil Rule 5. Only the particular material found to meet the required standard may be sealed, with the remainder filed in the public record. An unsealed, redacted version of the filing in issue shall be filed with the motion to seal. Filings under seal are disfavored and discouraged. *See Va. Dep't of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575–76 (4th Cir. 2004).

9. Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and (2), notices of depositions, interrogatories, requests for documents and admissions, and answers thereto shall not be filed except on order of the court, or for use in a motion or at trial.

10. In the event this case is tried before a jury, each party shall file their proposed jury instructions and *voir dire* seven (7) days prior to trial in accordance with Local Civil Rule 51. Violation of this Rule will constitute a waiver of objections to any instructions given. In the event the case is tried without a jury, counsel shall file written proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law prior to the beginning of trial.

Entered this 31st day of August, 2022.

Alexandria, Virginia



William E. Fitzpatrick
United States Magistrate Judge